January 29, 2003

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: David Darby, Senior Reclamation Specialist

RE: 2002, Second Quarter Water Monitoring, UtahAmerican Energy, Incorporated,

Horse Canyon Mine, C/007/013-WQ02-2

1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?YES [X] NO []

Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

Monitoring sites in Horse Canyon were monitored under the operational plan. Additional siteds in the database are monitored for baseline.

No surface flows were recorded. Spring L-6-G and shows no flow. All other springs showed some flow and were monitored.

Two springs discovered in the southern part of the proposed Lila Canyon permit area were monitored, which began in June.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data. See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling due date

Is not specified

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [X] NO [] Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

Page 2
C/007/013-WQ02-2
January 28, 2003

4. Were irregularities found in the data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES[] NO[X]
5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?	1 st month, YES [X] NO []
Identify sites and months not monitored:	2 nd month, YES [X] NO [] 3 rd month, YES [X] NO []
6. Were all required DMR parameters reported? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES[X] NO[]
No discharges in Pond 001 and 002	
7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES[] NO[X]
8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?	
none	
O:\007013.HOR\WATER QUALITY\WQ_02-2.DOC	